

RDAP implementation experience at .it

Mario Loffredo, Maurizio Martinelli IIT-CNR/.it Registry mario.loffredo, maurizio.martinelli@iit.cnr.it







RDAP applications:

- Validator
- Crawler
- Server
- Client
- Future activities







- Verifies the response compliance with both RDAP and jCard specifications
- Based on JSON Schema draft-07
 - https://json-schema.org/
- Developed in Java
 - https://github.com/everit-org/json-schema
- Takes in consideration so many RFCs and standards:

RDAP: 7480, 7481, 7482, 7483, 7484, 8056

• iCard: 6350, 6473, 6474, 6715, 6969, 7095, 8605

And then: ISO.3166.1988, ISO.8601.2000, ISO.8601.2004,
 CCITT.X520.1988, 3282, 3339, 3986, 4034, 5396, 5545, 5646,
 5910, 5952, 5980, 5988, ...







- Based on the RDAP validator
- Checks the responses from the servers included in IANA Bootstrap Service Registries
- Validation in three steps:
 - Parsing
 - Validation against the standard profile
 - Validation against the gTLD profile (in progress)
 - RDAP Technical Implementation Guide
 - RDAP Response Profile







Registro RDAP crawler (2)

So far the following issues have been discovered:

- about jCard:required fn element is not returned
 - only the version element is returned

 - tel element including uri type returns an invalid URI value
 address returned as the value of the label parameter in adr element but the adr value is null instead of an array of empty strings (i.e. [",

 - lang element value returned in uppercase instead lowercase
 country code parameter (RFC8605) named "CC" instead of "cc"
 kind element value is "organization" instead of "org"

about the standard profile:

- coded values (e.g. role, status, event action) are unregistered
- errorCode in error response is returned as String instead of Number
- IP network start/endAddress is formatted as a network instead as an address
- rdapConformance is missing
- server sets Content-type to "text" instead of "application/ rdap+json







- about the gTLD Profile:
 IANA Registrar ID is unregistered
 domain registrar abuse contact is missing
 some coded values are misspelled (e.g. domain status notice and RDDS inaccuracy notice)

- general:server doesn't return an answer
 - server doesn't return a valid content







- A challenging mapping between .it data model and RDAP data model has been required
- Only authenticated users are allowed to submit search queries
- Different contents according to users' profile
- Bootstrapping support
- Based on .it public test environment registration data
- Available at https://rdap.pubtest.nic.it







- Several extensions have been implemented:
 - counting, sorting and paging
 - draft-ietf-regext-rdap-sorting-and-paging-03
 - partial response
 - draft-ietf-regext-rdap-partial-response-02
 - reverse search
 - draft-ietf-regext-rdap-reverse-search-01
 - advanced searching and filtering
 - new contact representation
 - draft-stepanek-jscontact-01
 - domain suggestion
 - specification







Registroit Counting, sorting and paging

New parameters:

- count: allows the user to obtain the total number of results
- sort: allows the user to sort the results
- **cursor**: an opaque string representing a pointer to a specific fixed size portion of the result set
 - The pagination information is encoded (e.g. offset/limit, keyset)

New properties:

- sorting_metadata: includes information about both current and available sort criteria
- paging_metadata: includes the total number of results, and paging information

RDAP conformance

- sorting_level_0
- paging_level_0







Registro sorting_metadata: sample

```
"rdapConformance": [ "rdap level 0", "sorting level 0" ],
"sorting metadata": {
  "currentSort": "ldhName",
  "availableSorts": [
      "property": "registrationDate",
     "jsonPath": "$.domainSearchResults[*].events[?(@.eventAction==\"registration\")].eventDate",
      "default": false,
      "links": [
         "value": "https://example.com/rdap/domains?name=*nr.com&sort=ldhName",
         "rel": "alternate",
         "href": "https://example.com/rdap/domains?name=*nr.com&sort=registrationDate",
         "title": "Result Ascending Sort Link",
         "type": "application/rdap+json"
"domainSearchResults": [
```

- REQUIRED: property
- OPTIONAL: currentSort, availableSorts (at least one must be present)
- RECOMMENDED: jsonPath, default, links







paging_metadata: sample

```
"rdapConformance": [ "rdap level 0", "paging level 0" ],
"notices": [
    "title": "Search query limits",
    "type": "result set truncated due to excessive load",
    "description": [ "search results are limited to 10" ]
"paging metadata": {
  "totalCount": 73,
  "pageCount": 10,
  "links": [
      "value": "https://example.com/rdap/domains?name=*nr.com&count=true",
     "rel": "next",
     "href": "https://example.com/rdap/domains?name=*nr.com&cursor=wJlCDLI16KTWypN7T6vc6nWEmEYe99Hjf1XY1xmgV-M=",
     "title": "Result Pagination Link",
     "type": "application/rdap+json"
"domainSearchResults": [
```

- OPTIONAL: totalCount, links (at least one must be present)
- RECOMMENDED: pageCount







- The client declares a server pre-defined set of data fields instead of declaring explicitly the data fields
- New parameter:
 - fieldSet: is a string identifying a server pre-defined set of fields
- Recommended field sets:
 - id: contains only the key field (i.e. "handle" or "ldhName")
 - **brief**: identifies a set of fields conveying a basic knowledge of each object
 - full: contains all the information the server can provide for a particular object
 - NOTE:
 - Field sets might be provided according to users access levels
 - Server MAY add any service information (e.g. notices) and implement additional field sets
 - Servers SHOULD also define a "default" field set.
- New properties:
 - subsetting_metadata: includes information about both current and available field sets
- RDAP conformance
 - subsetting level 0







subsetting_metadata: sample

```
"rdapConformance": [ "rdap level 0", "subsetting level 0" ],
"subsetting metadata": {
  "currentFieldSet": "brief",
  "availableFieldSets": [
      "name": "id",
      "description": "Contains only the key field",
      "default": false,
      "links": [
         "value": "https://example.com/rdap/domains?name=*nr.com&fieldSet=brief",
          "rel": "alternate",
         "href": "https://example.com/rdap/domains?name=*nr.com&fieldSet=id",
          "title": "Result Subset Link",
         "type": "application/rdap+json"
"domainSearchResults": [
```

- REQUIRED: name
- OPTIONAL: currentFieldSet, availableFieldSets (at least one must be present)
- RECOMMENDED: description, default, links







- New paths:
 - domains?entityHandle=<reverse search pattern>
 - domains?entityFn=<reverse search pattern>
 - domains?entityEmail=<reverse search pattern>
 - domains?entityAddr=<reverse search pattern>
- <reverse search pattern> is a JSON object including two members:
 - value: represents the search pattern to be matched by the corresponding entity property. It can be:
 - for the first three paths, a string
 - for the fourth path, a JSON object, in turn, containing the information described in **RFC 5733**
 - role: is a string whose possible values are those detailed in RFC 7483
 - NOTE: value is REQUIRED, role is OPTIONAL







Registro Revserse search samples

```
entityHandle={"value":"CID-40*", "role":"administrative"}
entityFn={"value":"Bobby*", "role":"registrant"}
entityEmail={"value":"loffredo@example.com", "role":"technical"}
entityAddr={"value":{"cc":"CA"}, "role":"registrar"}
```







Registro Privacy considerations

- The use of this capability **MUST** be compliant with the rules about privacy protection each RDAP provider is subject to
- Sensitive registration data MUST be protected and accessible for permissible purposes only
- RDAP servers MUST provide reverse search only to those requestors who are authorized according to a lawful basis

Scenarios:

- Registrars searching for their own domains
- Operators in the exercise of an official authority or performing a specific task in the public interest that is set out in law
- Reverse searches only on those contacts that have previously given the explicit consent for publishing and processing their personal data







Registro Advanced searching and filtering

- New parameters:
 - query: allows the user to submit a complex search
 - Must be used in place of a RDAP search path (e.g. domains?name)
 - filter: allows the user to filter the results according to the values of those RDAP properties that are not used as search path segments (e.g. status)
 - Can be used in addition to either a search path or the query path
- New properties:
 - filtering_metadata: includes information about the available filters
- RDAP conformance
 - filtering_level_0







Registro query & filter samples

```
domains?name=we*.it&filter=["registrationDate", "ge", "2018-01-20"]
domains?name=we*.it&filter={"or":[["registrationDate", "ge", "2018-01-20"],
["expirationDate","le","2019-01-20"]]}
name=we*.it&filter={"not":{"or":[["registrationDate", "ge", "2018-01-20"],
["expirationDate", "le", "2019-01-20"]]}}
domains?name=wu*it&filter=["transferDate","isnull"]
domains?query=[["name","eq","test-*.it"],["nsLdhName","eq","wns1.rtr-dev.com"]]
domains?query=[["name","eq","test-*.it"],
["entityAddr", "eq", {"value": {"cc": "be"}, "role": "registrant"}]]
&filter={"or":[["registrationDate", "ge", "2018-01-20"],
["expirationDate","le","2019-01-20"]]}
```







filtering_metadata: sample

```
"rdapConformance": [ "rdap level 0", "filtering level 0" ],
 "filtering metadata": {
   "availableFilters":
      "property": "registrationDate",
      "jsonPath": "$.domainSearchResults[*].events[?(@.eventAction==\"registration\")].eventDate"
      "property": "lastChangedDate",
      "isonPath": "$.domainSearchResults[*].events[?(@.eventAction==\"last changed\")].eventDate"
      "property": "expirationDate",
      "jsonPath": "$.domainSearchResults[*].events[?(@.eventAction==\"expiration\")].eventDate"
      "property": "status",
      "jsonPath": "$.domainSearchResults[*].status"
 "domainSearchResults": [
REQUIRED: property

    OPTIONAL: currentFilter, availableFilters (at least one must be present)
```



RECOMMENDED: jsonPath





Registro JSContact representation

- New parameter:
 - **iscontact**: allows the user to obtain a more efficient contact represesentation than jCard. Default is jscontact=false
- New properties:
 - **jscontact:** replaces the vcardArray element
- RDAP conformance
 - jscontact_level_0







By using jCard (jscontact=false)

```
"rdapConformance": [ "rdap level 0" ],
"vcardArray" : [
 "vcard",
    [ "version", { }, "text", "4.0" ],
    [ "fn", { }, "text", "ccTLD '.it' Registry - IIT/CNR" ],
    [ "kind", { }, "text", "org" ],
    [ "org", { }, "text", "ccTLD '.it' Registry - IIT/CNR" ],
      "adr",
     { "cc": "it" },
      "text",
      [ "", "", "Via Giuseppe Moruzzi 1", "Pisa", "PI", "56124", "Italy" ]
    ],
    [ "tel", { "type": "voice" }, "uri", "tel:+39.0503139811" ],
    ["email", { }, "text", "hostmaster@nic.it" ]
],
```







Registro By using JSContact (jscontact=true)

```
"rdapConformance": [ "rdap level 0", "jscontact level 0" ],
"jscontact": {
  "kind" : "org"
 "fullName": "ccTLD '.it' Registry - IIT/CNR",
  "organization": "ccTLD '.it' Registry - IIT/CNR",
  "addresses": [
      "type": "work",
      "fullAddress": "Via Giuseppe Moruzzi 1 Pisa PI 56124 Italy IT ",
      "street": "Via Giuseppe Moruzzi, 1",
      "locality": "Pisa",
      "region": "PI",
      "postcode": "56124",
      "country": "Italy",
      "countryCode": "it"
  "phones": [
      "type": "work",
      "value": "+39.0503139811"
  "emails": [
      "type": "work",
      "value": "hostmaster@nic.it"
```







Registro Domain suggestion

- New parameter:
 - searchtype: "suggestion"
- NOTE:
 - This search is allowed only for the "domains?name" path
 - The search pattern MUST be a domain name in LDH or U-label format
 - Partial matching is not allowed
- Additional parameters:
 - language: one of the values described in RFC 5646. Each RDAP provider can define a default value
 - maxLength: the maximum length of the domain without considering TLD suffix. Range [1-63]
 - useHypens: if hyphens will appear in resulting domain suggestions. Default is false
 - useNumbers: if digits 0-9 will appear in resulting domain suggestions. Default is false
 - useldns: if IDNs will appear in resulting domain suggestions. Default is false
 - showRegistered: if registered domains will appear in resulting domain suggestions. Default is false
 - showCensurable: if all objectionable domain will be included in the response. Default is false
 - Sample: domains?name=carwash.com&searchtype=suggestion&language=en
- The response is provided according to the "id" field set







Registro Specification (1)

- A REST service should provide clients with a machine-processable specification to describe:
 - the requests in terms of available paths, parameters and bodies
 - the responses in terms of returned properties and values
 - the authentication methods
- **New endpoint:**
 - specification
- Bootstrapping is implemented through the method as described in RFC8521 (i.e. specification/{RDAP-provider-tag})
- Specifications can be provided according to different REST API specification languages:
 - **OpenAPI**
 - RAML
 - **APIBlueprint**
 - **ISON Schema**
- Each specification language has its own:
 - format
 - media type for its delivery as a REST response
 - set of tools covering every phase of the API life cycle (design, build, test, documentation and sharing)







Registro Specification (2)

Server:

- provides a machine-processable specification of:
 - the URI templates of non-standard path segments
 - the description and the formal constraints for each property or value extending the response
 - the supported authentication methods
- can announce to clients any change about its capabilities and make it suddenly available

Client:

- can configure itself, according to any server specification and user access level
- enables the user to submit only valid requests
- displays and validates the responses more efficiently
- can adopt open source software dedicated to validation, data parsing, requests handling and user interface generation







Specification response sample

```
"rdapConformance" : [ "rdap level 0" ]
"notices" : {
 "title": "Server specification",
 "description" : [ "The list of specifications available for this
 RDAP server according to different formats"],
 "links" : [
     "value": "http://example.com/rdap/specification",
     "rel": "describedby",
     "title": "OpenAPI-JSON",
     "type" : "application/vnd.oai.openapi+json",
     "href": "http://example.com/rdap/specification/openapi.json"
```







RDAP servers:

- can be pretty different in both requests and responses
- can't provide a machine-processable description of their own features

Current RDAP clients:

- are based on RFC7482
- provide users with fixed capabilities

As a consequence:

- users might waste time submitting requests that can't be accepted because they are not
 implemented by the server or because they are not allowed, according to the user access level
- · users/clients must know the features of all the servers they interact with
- if a server changes its features, such a change is not immediately recognized by clients and, normally, it requires an additional effort by client implementers
- if the standard response is extended with some additional properties or values, the client can't provide users with their on-line description
- responses cannot be formally validated according to a specification (as in EPP by using XML schemas)







Registro RDAP Client (2)

- How about implementing a client able to configure itself according to a server specification?
 - It would be based on server "specification" extension
 - Specifications could be automatically converted
 - Client UI would be automatically generated
- **Processing steps:**

```
user selects the target server;
the specification is requested to the server;
if (no specification is available)
  RFC7482 is loaded
else
 if (no specification format is OpenAPI)
    the specification is converted in in OpenAPI;
the client UI is generated by the Swagger-UI library;
```

Development still in progress







- Moving forward current IETF drafts
- Evaluating the submission of new IETF drafts
- Contributing to fix/replace jCard
- Completing the crawler validation against the RDAP gTLD profile
- Completing the client
- Migrating the server on live environment











